

**Example of summary table  
within Plan**

**Table 8. NCIRWMP Priority Project List**

Project ID #	Organization Name	Project Name	County Location	Project City Location	Average Score	Request	Revised
7	Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program	HUMBOLDT MENDOCINO	Petrolia Whitethorn Ettersburg Honeydew	75	\$2,897,690	\$1,543,743
236-S1	Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District	Shasta Water Association Dam Restoration	SISKIYOU	Lake Shastina Forks of the Salmon	73	\$10,902,844	\$1,926,350
236-S2	Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District	Araujo Dam Restoration	SISKIYOU	Yreka Lake Shastina Forks of the Salmon	73	\$2,675,757	\$878,275
236-S3	Siskiyou RCD/Scott River Watershed Council	Scott River Water Trust Phase III	SISKIYOU	Lake Shastina Etna	73	\$470,811	\$160,000
236-S5	City of Etna	City of Etna Water Supply	SISKIYOU	Etna	73	\$382,105	\$318,105
78	Sonoma County	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project	SONOMA	Monte Rio	69	\$9,487,000	\$3,461,727
86	Orick Community Services District	Orick Community Services District Wastewater Treatment System	HUMBOLDT	Orick	69	\$4,156,225	\$2,628,441
ICWMP - D	Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Integrated Coastal Watershed Management Program	HUMBOLDT	Petrolia Whitethorn Ettersburg Honeydew	68	\$1,235,206	\$1,235,206
22	Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife and Wetlands Restoration Assoc	Redwood Creek Erosion Control	HUMBOLDT	Orick	67	\$1,325,000	\$537,971
164	California Land Stewardship Institute	Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program	MENDOCINO SONOMA	Yorkville Ukiah	64	\$3,000,000	\$210,510
51	Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Mid Van Duzen River Ranch Road Sediment Reduction Program	HUMBOLDT	Bridgeville Kneeland	64	\$810,000	\$336,817
121	Humboldt County RCD	Salt River Restoration Project	HUMBOLDT	Ferndale	64	\$5,950,000	\$1,169,502
23	Graton Community Service District	Graton Wastewater Treatment Upgrade and Reclamation Project	SONOMA	Graton	64	\$1,332,400	\$654,921
128	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma County Water Recycling and Habitat Preservation Project	SONOMA	Santa Rosa Alexander Valley area	64	\$50,000,000	\$1,004,603

**Project #7 Title: Mattole Integrated Water Management Program**

Entity Responsible for Implementation: Mattole Restoration Council

Contact Name: Chris Larson, Executive Director

**County:** Humboldt Mendocino

**Disadvantaged community:** Entirely

**NCIRWMP request:** \$2,897,690

**NCIRWMP recommended: \$1,543,743**

**NCIRWMP Objectives Addressed:**

- Salmonid conservation and enhancement
- Ensure adequate water supply
- Support implementation of State Programs
- Address environmental justice

**Project Summary:**

The Mattole Integrated Water Management Program integrates basin-wide efforts to meet water supply, water quality and salmonid habitat goals for the coastal Mattole River watershed. The Program will yield increased water supply in drought-prone areas (over 2,500,000 gallons/year of residential and agricultural water storage and 6 demonstration sites), augmented summertime streamflows in critical salmon-bearing reaches (up to 28.8 gallons/minute during low-flow period), sediment reduction to meet TMDL and NCRWQCB Basin Plan goals (over 158,000 cubic yards stabilized), and habitat improvements (invasive plant eradication, salmon habitat enhancement and riparian restoration at 47 sites). A suite of effectiveness monitoring components will determine project performance and future management measures. By implementing these projects through an existing and robust watershed partnership, integrated project components will address multiple management goals for habitat and water supply.

**Project Goals:**

Integrated projects will address water supply and water quality/habitat protection goals through basin-wide voluntary efforts: (1) Installation of 700,000 gallons of water storage to prevent summertime water diversion from critical habitats, (2) Construction of two 290,000 gallon agricultural ponds, (3) Creation of three groundwater recharge basins and one well to triple summertime streamflow in the upper basin, (4) Monitoring streamflow and water quality to evaluate effectiveness, (5) Sediment treatments and monitoring to stabilize/remove 158,000 cubic yards of sediment near fish-bearing watercourses across 18,000 acres, (6) Planting 110,000 tree seedlings to meet riparian reforestation goals in the Mattole Watershed Plan, (7) Implementing water conservation measures and educating residents through demonstration sites at six public schools, (8) Eradication of noxious weeds at four high-priority riparian sites, and (9) Installing twenty-five habitat enhancement structures.

**Project Benefits:**

The watershed is recognized statewide as a priority for salmonid and water quality protection. Depleted summertime flows and non-point source pollution threaten recovery efforts. This integrated approach addresses water supply/conservation, water quality, and habitat goals. Water shortages, particularly affecting residential users in the upper basin, will be ameliorated through water storage, groundwater recharge and water-use efficiency upgrades. Associated agreements restricting summertime water diversion will benefit impacted aquatic habitats. Additional habitat benefits will be secured through riparian restoration, invasive species eradication, and installation of habitat enhancement structures. Effectiveness monitoring of streamflow conservation and habitat restoration efforts will guide future actions. Demonstration sites at local schools will provide education on water-use efficiency concepts and provide models of water-wise facility management.

**Collaborative Support:**

- ❑ Mattole Salmon Group
- ❑ Sanctuary Forest, Inc.
- ❑ State Coastal Conservancy
- ❑ Bureau of Land Management
- ❑ North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board/SWRCB

**Larger Project to which this Project Contributes:**

- ❑ Mattole River and Range Partnership

**Description of Larger Project:**

The Mattole River and Range Partnership, a consortium of five Mattole-based conservation groups and state/federal resource agencies, is undertaking a five-year effort towards multiple watershed management goals. These include sediment control, non-point source pollution control, water conservation, riparian restoration, salmon habitat enhancement and education/outreach for private landowners. The Partnership operates under an MOU between the five organizations. A draft MOU is proposed to formalize state and federal agency involvement.

**Political Support:**

This project is supported by water users, fisheries interests, government agencies, local watershed restoration organizations, land trusts, and landowner groups. Project proponents have a twenty-five year record of working with private landowners (controlling 88% of the basin) in watershed management efforts. In 2004 alone, project proponents worked with over 200 landowners, encompassing various land-uses, to implement watershed projects. This translates into broad local support for these efforts. In addition, project proponents have formal agreements authorizing co-management of public resources on BLM holdings and within the Upper Mattole River and Forest

Cooperative. A Technical Advisory Committee, consisting of technical representatives and stakeholders, oversees implementation of all project components. A watershed partnership MOU between five local conservation groups, landowner representatives, two counties and 13 state/federal agencies is being drafted.

### **Integration of Nonpoint Source Management Measures:**

Numerous project components are named in the NCRWQCB List of Targeted Nonpoint Source Pollution Prevention Projects. The NPS Program Plan emphasizes upslope sediment reduction, landowner education, fish habitat enhancement, riparian restoration, water quality monitoring, and stream channel monitoring as means of addressing watershed management issues in the Mattole River watershed.

### **Monitoring Deliverables:**

Monitoring deliverables include Streamflow Augmentation Effectiveness Monitoring Reports (3 years), Long-Term Streamflow Monitoring Reports (3 years), Depleted Streamflow Habitat Effects Monitoring Reports (3 years), Sediment and Riparian Habitat Restoration Effectiveness Monitoring Reports (2 years), associated project implementation monitoring checklists and reports, photographic documentation, approved Quality Assurance Project Plans, associated Monitoring Plans, database publication.

### **Performance Measures**

Monitoring elements will evaluate project completion and effectiveness. Water quality and habitat projects are evaluated under DFG/SWRCB protocols to evaluate aquatic habitat response to sediment treatments. Flow measurements in paired reaches will assess streamflow augmentation efforts. USGS gauge data will be used to place streamflows within a long-term context. Data will be entered into SWAMP- and GAMA-compatible formats, and will be published on-line for public use and analysis.

### **Scientific Basis of Project**

Prioritization was based on long-term water temperature, streamflow and habitat data sets. Sediment treatments are based on field inventories of sediment sources. Streamflow augmentation is based on an assessment that analyzed upper basin water use effects on aquatic habitats. Recharge basins have been designed by BLM personnel. Restoration sites were identified through basin-wide prioritization and field surveys. The Mattole TAC, DFG and NCRWQCB were consulted on monitoring study design.

## Example Environmental Compliance Summary Table within IRWM Plan

### 7.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

The NCIWMP Implementation grant proposal is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines §15262 (Feasibility and Planning Studies) and §15306 (Information Collection) because it consists of basic data collection and resource evaluation activities which would not result in the disturbance of any environmental resource and because it involves planning studies for possible future actions which the participating agencies have not yet approved. Potential environmental impacts of all individual projects listed in the North Coast IRWM Plan have been or will be evaluated in accordance with CEQA by the project proponents. This Plan does not legally bind participants to carry out projects listed in the plan.

The following table contains CEQA/NEPA status and other permitting required for each project as submitted by the project proponents.

<b>Table 9: Environmental Compliance Summary</b>			
<b>ID</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>CEQA/NEPA Status</b>	<b>Other Permitting Requirements</b>
7	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program	CEQA to be completed by 6/15/2006. NEPA review to be completed by 6/15/2006.	Section 1600/1603 Streambed Alteration Agreement CWA Section 404 Certification
236	S1 Shasta Water Association Dam Restoration	CEQA to be completed by 1/1/2008.	DFG 1600 Permit ACOE 404 Permit NMFS RWQ 401 Permit County Building Permit
236	S2 Aruja Dam Restoration	CEQA to be completed by 12/1/2007.	DFG 1600 Permit ACOE 404 Permit NMFS RWQ 401 Permit County Building Permit
236	S3 Scott River Water Trust	CEQA to be completed by 6/15/2007.	SWRCB Beneficial use
236	S5 City of Etna Water Supply	CEQA to be completed by 12/1/2007	US Army Corps of Engineers 404 Permit Streambed Alteration Ag, 1601 NCRWQCB 401 Permit, Landowner Access Ag
78	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project	CEQA was completed 6/7/2001, NEPA was completed 4/15/2005	Waste Discharge Permit, NCRWQCB Grading, Building Permit Monitoring Well Permit Storm Water NPDES Encroachment Permit

## Example Summary Table as an Appendix to the IRWM Plan

### North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Phase 1

#### Appendix A: NCIRWMP Projects Integration with Statewide Goals

		CWC Program Preferences					DWR & SWRCB Statewide Priorities					
Project ID	Project Name	Integrated project with multiple benefits	Support and improve local and regional water supply reliability	Contribute to long-term attainment and maintenance of water quality	Eliminate or reduce pollution in impaired waters and sensitive habitat	Safe drinking and water quality projects that serve disadvantaged communities	Reduce conflict between water users	Implementation of TMDLS	Implementation of RWQCB WMI Chapters, Plans, Policies	Implementation of SWRCB's NPS Pollution Plan	Implementation of floodplain management task force, recycling task force or state species recovery plan	Address environmental justice concerns
7	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
236	Siskiyou County Integrated Water Management/Coho Recovery Project	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
78	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
86	Orick Community Services District	X		X	X	X		X	X	X		X
ICWMP - D	Mattole Integrated Coastal Watershed Management Program	X		X	X			X	X	X		X
22	Redwood Creek Erosion Control			X	X	X		X	X	X	X	
164	California Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		

# Example Scoring Table as an Appendix to the IRWM Plan

Pro_ID	PI_1_ProName	Scoring	Project Info & Supporting Docs			Permit	CP	Management Strategies & Statewide Evaluation						State Guidelines - Statewide Priorities						Project Score			
		Score the columns ranking as 1 = lowest agreement 5 = highest agreement	Did the project include a good description of the proposal for which funding is requested?	How well were the goals and objectives of the proposal identified?	To what degree are there critical negative impacts that would result from not completing the project?	Does the proposal include a plan for compliance with all applicable environmental review requirements?	Does the project show Collaborative Partnerships?	How well does the project show integration of management priorities with multiple benefits?	How well does the project support and improve local and regional water supply reliability?	How well does project contribute expeditiously and measurably to the long-term attainment and maintenance of water quality standards?	How well does the project eliminate or significantly reduce pollution in impaired waters and sensitive habitat areas, including ASBS?	How well does the project use sound scientific basis for data acquisition & project management?	How well does the proposal show direct benefit to a disadvantaged community?	Is the proposal located in a disadvantaged community?	How well does the project address conflict between water users or resolve water rights disputes?	How well does the project address implementation of TMDLs that are established or under development?	How well does the project address implementation of RWQCB WMI Chapters, Plans and policies?	How well does the project address implementation of the SWRCB NPS Pollution Plan?	How well does the project address implementation of recommendations of the floodplain management task force, desalination task force, recycling task force, or state species recovery plan?	How well does the project address environmental justice concerns?	Sub-Total Funding Score	Maximum Total Score 100 TOTAL SCORE	
																							DAC
1	Loleta I&I																						
2	Hayfork Forest Health Phase II																						
7	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program																						
12	Laguna de Santa Rosa Riparian and Wetland Restoration																						
13	Dutch Bill Watershed Literacy Project: No Coho Left Behind																						
15	California Forest Improvement Program																						
17	BMPs for Invasive Plant Control in Coastal Watersheds																						
19	Camp Meeker-Occidental Joint Wastewater Reclamation Project																						
22	Redwood Creek Erosion Control																						
23	Graton Wastewater Treatment Upgrade and Reclamation Project																						
24	Hollow Tree Road Improvement Project																						
26	Sediment Solutions for the Gualala: Phase III																						
27	Dam Failure Prevention & Sediment Reduction Santa Rosa Creek																						
28	Cloverdale River Park, Russian River Bank Restoration																						
29	Citywide Creek Master Plan																						

Project ID #	Organization Name	Project Name	Project County Location	Avg Score	Max	Min	Standard Deviation	Prop 50 Fund Request
7	Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program	HUMBOLDT MENDOCINO	75	86	64	8	\$2,897,690
236	Siskiyou County	Siskiyou Co. Integrated Water Mgt/Coho Recovery Project	SISKIYOU	73	91	54	11	\$14,431,517
78	Sonoma County	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project	SONOMA	69	88	51	15	\$9,487,000
86	Orick Community Services District	Orick Community Services District Wastewater Treatment Sys.	HUMBOLDT	69	81	54	9	\$4,156,225
ICWMP-D	Mattole Restoration Council	Mattole Integrated Coastal Watershed Management Program	HUMBOLDT	68	80	53	10	\$1,235,206
22	Pacific Coast Fish, Wildlife and Wetlands Restoration Association	Redwood Creek Erosion Control	HUMBOLDT	67	77	50	10	\$1,325,000
164	California Land Stewardship Institute	Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program	MENDOCINO NAPA SONOMA LAKE	65	82.5	50.5	11	\$3,000,000
51	Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Mid Van Duzen River Ranch Road Sediment Reduction Program	HUMBOLDT	64	75	49.5	9	\$810,000
121	Humboldt County Resource Conservation District	Salt River Restoration Project	HUMBOLDT	64	75	54	7	\$5,950,000
23	Graton Community Service District	Graton Wastewater Treatment Upgrade and Reclamation Project	SONOMA	64	84	46	11	\$1,332,400
128	City of Santa Rosa	Sonoma County Water Recycling and Habitat Preservation Proj	SONOMA	64	80	48	11	\$50,000,000
217	Modoc County	Newell Water System Renovation	MODOC	64	79	37	13	\$1,815,127
38	California State Parks - North Coast Redwoods District	Head Hunter/Smoke House Non-point Sediment Reduction Project	DEL NORTE	64	74	50	8	\$871,318
151	Trinity County	Trinity Drinking Water Source Sediment Reduction Project	TRINITY	62	76	46	10	\$300,015
108	City of Eureka	Martin Slough Interceptor Project	HUMBOLDT	62	74	42	11	\$5,598,500
77	Humboldt County Resource Conservation	Eel River Cooperative Sediment Reduction Program	HUMBOLDT	62	68	50.5	6	\$1,655,000
123	Mendocino County RCD	Sinkyone Road Restoration Project	MENDOCINO HUMBOLDT	withdrawn-other funding rcv'd				\$654,316
125	Mendocino County RCD	Navarro Watershed Road Sediment Reduction Project	MENDOCINO	61	76	50	9	\$1,415,427
26	Gualala River Watershed Council	Sediment Solutions for the Gualala: Phase III	MENDOCINO SONOMA	60	76	39.5	11	\$1,132,445
42	City of Ukiah	Wastewater Secondary Treatment Upgrade	MENDOCINO	60	76	46	10	\$9,227,089
75	City of Ukiah	Reclaimed Water System	MENDOCINO	59	77	41	12	\$7,290,000
19	Occidental County Sanitation District	Camp Meeker-Occidental Joint Wastewater Reclamation Project	SONOMA	58	69	40	10	\$5,495,000
27	LandPaths	Dam Failure Prevention & Sediment Reduction Santa Rosa Creek	SONOMA	58	68	47	8	\$1,365,000
2	The Watershed Research and Training Center	Hayfork Forest Health Phase II	TRINITY	58	80	34	13	\$515,000



## Example Summary Budget Table for each project included as Appendix to the IRWM Plan

Table B-1 – Budget						
Project Title: <u>North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Phase I</u>						
Project Title: <u>Project 164 – Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program</u>						
Budget Category		Other State Funds <sup>1)</sup>	Non-State Share (Funding Match)	Requested Grant Funding	Total	% Funding Match
(a)	Direct Project Administration Costs			\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	
(b)	Land Purchase/Easement			\$0.00	\$0.00	
(c)	Planning/Design/Engineering/ Environmental Documentation			\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00	
(d.1)	Construction/Implementation : Labor		\$21,000.00	\$58,000.00	\$79,000.00	
(d.2)	Construction/Implementation : Materials			\$55,510.00	\$55,510.00	
(e.1)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement : Labor			\$0.00	\$0.00	
(e.2)	Environmental Compliance/ Mitigation/Enhancement : Materials			\$0.00	\$0.00	
(f)	Construction Administration			\$14,000.00	\$14,000.00	
(g.1)	Other Costs: Labor			\$22,000.00	\$22,000.00	
(g.2)	Other Costs: Materials			\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	
(h)	Construction/Implementation Contingency			\$0.00	\$0.00	
(i)	Grand Total (Sum rows (a) through (h) for each column)	\$0.00	\$21,000.00	\$210,510.00	\$231,510.00	
(j)	Calculation of Funding Match % (Used in Funding Match Scoring Criterion) <i>Optional for individual component projects .</i>					
Sources of Funds for Non-State Share (Funding Match) and Other State Funds		<i>Landowner funded designs and implementation of several revegetation projects</i>				

<sup>1)</sup> "Other State Funds" may be presented in Table B-1 to demonstrate the full funding picture for the Proposal and, if presented, must be included in the total costs of the Proposal, which will be used to determine the percentage for the Funding Match Scoring Criterion.

## Example Schedule/Timeline for each project as an Appendix to the IRWM Plan

Schedule/Project Timeline																																
ID	Project Name	Project Timeline		Project Timeline																												
		Start	End	Pre 2006	Year 2006				Year 2007				Year 2008				Year 2009				Year 2010				Year 2011				Year 2012			
					1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
7	<b>Mattole Intergrated Water Management Program</b>																															
	Larger Project	1/1/2003	12/31/2008																													
	Feasibility	1/1/2004	3/1/2005																													
	Design and Bid Solicitation	1/1/2004	1/1/2005																													
	<b>Permitting</b>																															
	CEQA		6/15/2006																													
	NEPA		6/15/2006																													
	Other Permits:*	1/1/2005	6/15/2006																													
	Implementation	7/1/2006	10/15/2008																													
	Mitigation & Environmental Enhancement	7/1/2006	10/15/2008																													
Maintenance	1/1/2006	1/1/2009																														
Monitoring	9/1/2005	12/31/2008																														
236	<b>Siskiyou Co. Integrated Water Mgt/Coho Recovery Project</b>																															
	Larger Project	3/30/2005	12/31/2010																													
	<b>Shasta Water Association Dam Restoration</b>																															
	Feasibility	5/1/2002	4/1/2004																													
	Design and Bid Solicitation	5/1/2002	6/1/2006																													
	<b>Permitting</b>																															
	CEQA		1/1/2008																													
	NEPA		N/A																													
	Other Permits:*	5/1/2006	1/1/2008																													
	Implementation	11/1/2006	3/1/2011																													
Mitigation & Environmental Enhancement	11/1/2006	3/1/2011																														
Maintenance	N/A																															
Monitoring	11/1/2006	3/1/2011																														

## Example Summary of Objectives as an Appendix to the IRWM Plan

### North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Phase 1

#### Appendix O: NCIWMP Projects Integration with NCIWMP Objectives

Project ID	Project Name	Conserve and enhance salmonid populations	Protect and enhance drinking water quality	Ensure adequate water supply	Implementation of Total Maximum Daily Loads	Implementation of Regional Water Quality Control Board WMI Chapters, Plans, & Policies	Implementation of the SWRCB's Non-point Source Program Plan	Implementation of floodplain management task force or recycling task force recommendations	Support implementation of State Programs	Address environmental justice concerns
7	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
236	Siskiyou County Integrated Water Management/Coho Recovery Project	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
78	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
86	Orick Community Services District	X	X			X	X	X	X	X
ICWMP-D	Mattole Integrated Coastal Watershed Management Program	X		X	X	X	X		X	X
22	Redwood Creek Erosion Control	X			X	X	X	X	X	
164	California Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	
51	Mid Van Duzen River Ranch Road Sediment Reduction Program	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
121	Salt River Restoration Project	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X

## Example Summary Table of Watershed Attributes as an Appendix to the IRWM Plan

### North Coast Integrated Regional Water Management Plan, Phase 1

#### Appendix P: NCIRWMP Projects Watershed Attributes

Project ID	Project Name	Groundwater Basins	303(d) Listed Waterbodies	Critical Coastal Areas/Areas of Biological Significance	DFG Coho Recovery Unit(s)
7	Mattole Integrated Water Management Program	Mattole River Valley	Mattole River, Cape Mendocino HU, Mattole River HA	Gilham Butte Area of Critical Environmental Concern, Mill Creek Forest Area of Critical Environmental Concern, King Range ASBS, Mattole River ASBS	Cape Mendocino HU
236	Siskiyou County Integrated Water Management/Coho Recovery Project	Shasta Valley, Scott River Valley, Butte Valley, Happy Camp Town Area	Scott River, Klamath River HU, Scott River HA, Shasta River HA, Klamath River, Middle HA, Iron Gate Dam to Scott River, Salmon River HA	Redwood National and State Parks ASBS	Klamath River HU
78	Monte Rio Community Wastewater Project	Lower Russian River Valley	Russian River, Russian River HU, Lower Russian River HA, Guerneville HSA		Russian River HU; Guerneville HSA
86	Orick Community Services District	Redwood Creek Area	Redwood Creek, Redwood Creek HU		Redwood Creek HU
ICWMP-D	Mattole Integrated Coastal Watershed Management Program	Mattole River Valley	Mattole River, Cape Mendocino HU, Mattole River HA	Gilham Butte Area of Critical Environmental Concern, Mill Creek Forest Area of Critical Environmental Concern, King Range ASBS, Mattole River ASBS	Cape Mendocino HU
22	Redwood Creek Erosion Control	N/A	Redwood Creek, Redwood Creek HU	Redwood National and State Parks ASBS	Redwood Creek HU
164	California Fish Friendly Farming Environmental Certification Program	Alexander Valley, Ukiah Valley, Navarro River Valley, Lower Russian River Valley	Navarro River Delta, Mendocino Coast HU, Navarro River HA, Gualala River, Gualala River HA, Laguna de Santa Rosa, Russian River HU, Middle Russian River HA, Navarro River, Santa Rosa Creek, Russian River, Lower Russian River HA, Austin Creek HSA, Guerneville HSA, Big Sulphur Creek HSA, Dry Creek HSA, Mark West Creek HSA, Upper Russian River HA, Forsythe Creek HSA, Ukiah HSA		Mendocino Coast HU; Russian River HU; Guerneville HSA; Austin Creek HSA; Guerneville HSA, Mark West Creek HSA, Forsythe Creek HSA